

## **CLUC Minutes 02/22/2016**

Attendees: Rich Davis, Matt Lebens, Bob Leverich, Jaymie Lacina, Mark Kormondy, Susan Keefe, Abby Kelso, David McAvity

Guests: Scott Morgan, JarenTangan, Robyn Mason, Dick Clintworth, Eli Staggs

Recorder: Bianca Janssen-Timmen

Meeting started at 3:05pm

Minutes from 1/25/2016 were approved as presented.

### **Introductions**

#### **Sem I Emergency Phone Stanchion Installation – Richard Schneider**

Richard Schneider proposed the installation of another emergency phone stanchion to increase public safety on campus.

The stanchion he proposed will be on the east side of Sem I and serve the Sem I, the Longhouse and Lab II area. It will involve an ADA accessible nine square feet concrete pad and it will be installed in Winter/Spring of 2016. The funding comes out of C&C's minor works budget for the entire project. Jeanne requested a utility locate before starting the project. The CLUC is in favor of this project and will recommend it to John Hurley for approval.

#### **FOLLOW UP:**

**Richard Schneider would like to change the location of the above mentioned stanchion about 15 ft. south but still on the east of Sem 1 side in order to allow better audio casting.**

Mark recommended bollards for this corner as protection from vehicles for the stanchion.

The CLUC is in favor of this relocation including the bollards and will recommend it to John Hurley for approval.

#### **Memorial Garden – Dick Clintworth**

Dick handed out an area map of the Memorial Garden and some ADA material research he conducted on this matter. After the CLUC asked him, at the January meeting to come back, clarifying the walkway material that was supposed to be maintenance friendly and ADA compatible. For his research he evaluated the parking surface at the AgLab, which is deteriorating already after only one year, he determined that concrete would be the best long term option.

Next, Dick elaborated on the financial side and mentioned that landscape architect, Jim Brennan, was contracted, who used up \$3700 out of \$6500 for a written design idea including a cost estimate, which was declined by the VPs. Based on that decision, Dick found the current location and designed the present plan. Jeanne suggested we use the remaining \$2800 for a more detailed CAD drawing of Dick's current plan and location, while the recommendations are proposed to John. Abby and Bob both expressed support for the remaining \$2800 to be used to have the designer to complete a design for the new location using Dick's current plan as a starting point. Abby expressed that a nicely finalized diagram would be a helpful tool for Development to use in communicating with current and future donors.

Grounds would clean up the site and prepare it for Construction Services to pour the concrete sidewalk, which will be about 50-55 feet. Then the bench will be installed and this will conclude Phase I. Once more money is available, additional sidewalk sections will be added, including lighting, planting beds, etc.

Mark commented on the tree planting plans for this area, by saying that this area is not a very good tree planting site because the soil is very poor and drainage is barely given. He would not recommend it for this location, so if a tree donation would be made, in memory of a passed loved one, Mark would recommend other locations for this purpose.

The CLUC, at this meeting, would like to recommend the concept and the construction of Phase I to John Hurley for approval.

### **Mud Pit – The Evergreen Conservation Corps (TECC)**

Robyn and Eli are the project leads for this project and they passed out project plans.

TECC identified a safety and environmental hazard issue on the so called Bluff Trail.

This area is in quadrant B2 on the Siatkowski campus trail map. Just northwest of the bridge there is a roughly 982 square foot area, which has fallen into a state of extreme disrepair.

There is no drainage within this four foot layer of clay, which is beneath a shallow layer of topsoil. Foot traffic over this area is contributing to a large amount of erosion. TECC recommend walking over this area as unsafe.

Eradicating this problem will consist of 4 phases. There is also a bridge at this site that is in disrepair; the TECC thinks this bridge needs to be replaced. Barring permission though the main goal is to redo the bluff trail and mitigate traffic.

The 4 phases are:

1.) **Mud Pit and Retaining Wall:**

We will block off the northern trail which leads into this area when coming from campus, leaving the south trail open for foot traffic, the northern trail is eroding and unnecessary for access to the bluff and beach.

This trail will be closed by erecting barriers of lashed crossed logs.

We will prepare a detour around our work area. This will lead hikers over a section with a steep downslope for both safety and to avoid erosion of this path, we will erect a retaining wall with a railing.

2.) **Re-Routing Water and Material Run Off to the Close by Creek:**

The mud pit consists of about five feet of clay and a half foot of topsoil. Being at the bottom of a downhill, this area collects both water and material run off, which sits on top on the soil, unable to drain through the clay, creating a mud pit. In order to assist the drainage, the group will try channel the water down to the nearby stream through a turnpike.

3.) **Drainage:**

After completing phase two and having a drier area, the group will create a more permanent solution through digging out the clay and replacing the clay with a system of layered rocks, sand, and soil.

4.) **Bridge Replacement with a Puncheon:**

A puncheon is an effective way of crossing wet areas, such as bogs or the mentioned trail.

Comprised of treated lumber or native woods, it provides hardened surface that allows passing wet areas without damaging the area. It is parallel to the direction of the trail, and the support structures rest directly on the ground and can be about three to six feet in length. On top of the support structures will be the walking surface, which will be secured in place with large spikes.

This is not a sanctioned trail though, so in the trail repair discussion it was questioned if college funds should be spend on this project and if the efforts of the TECC would be worthwhile. In this regard, Matt mentioned the Trail Stewardship Group, chaired by Wendy Endress, which should be consulted in regards to sanctioned/un-sanctioned trails. The Trail Stewardship Group meets on March 18<sup>th</sup> and after this meeting the CLUC and thus the TECC, will have better directions in terms of this project. In consideration of this, the CLUC asked the TECC to come back in April to discuss further details. The next meeting will be during Spring break but Robyn and Eli won't be on campus during this time, so Matt offered to represent the group at that meeting and they accepted. At the end of the conversation it was also recommended to get in touch with Paul Przybylowicz until the next meeting because he contacted the CLUC and offered his knowledge in regards to this trail. Eli also updated the CLUC about his GPS mapping efforts, which are coming along.

#### **Discussion of Red Square Condition/Future/Usage – David McAvity**

Jeanne updated the group that Facilities hired an Architect firm to come up with a plan on how to replace the current bricks because the current ones are no longer in production.

She recommended to the Architect firm, replacing the area between the CAB to the Library with concrete because it's a heavier used route. Furthermore, she recommended, minimizing traffic, and creating a concrete fire lane from the bus loop to the Library.

Before digging up Red Square, the Architect firm recommended a test area, with the restored under slab and new bricks behind the CAB, close to the kiosk.

#### **Review of Plans for Emergency Access Path From B Lot to back of Lab 2 – David McAvity**

A sidewalk on the emergency access path between parking lot B and the back of Lab 2 was proposed a while ago but it was not favored by the Space Management Committee at the point of proposal. The CLUC hasn't reviewed this project, yet, because the Facilities Project Manager for this project hasn't officially proposed it. That said, David expressed, that the Space Management's decision was not to encourage more vehicle traffic by installing a sidewalk and therefore turning this path into a road, the Project Manager should come to the CLUC rather earlier than later, so he doesn't spend too much time and money on this project. Jeanne said she would inform the Project Manager.

#### **CRC Graffiti Magnet Corner Discussion – Andrew Beattie**

This topic would have been difficult to explain without seeing the issue, so Andrew invited the group on a visit to the area.

The meeting ended at 4:30pm.

The next meeting will be March 21, 2016

